1	2		3	4
5	Goa . . .	.....	1499	86
6	Gujarat . . .	.....	44942	587
7	Haryana .	.....	37780	792
8	Himachal Pradesh	.....	13170	201
9	Jammu & Kashmir	.....	6845	201
10	Kamataka	.....	86904	1943
11	Kerala	.....	103913	1606
.12	Madhya Pradesh	.....	12J729	2992
13	Maharashtra	.....	130101	4850
14	Manipur	.....	9967	211
15	Mizoram	.....	1556	15
16	Orissa	.....	60902	842
17	Punjab	.....	98523	1030
18	Rajasthan	.....	87647	1257
19	Tamil Nadu	.....	138833	2794
20	Tripura	.....	5544	124
21	Uttar Pradesh		207801	3995
22	West Bengal	.....	126055	899
23	Andaman & Nicobar	.....	527	33
24	Arunachal Pradesh	.....	331	65
25	Chandigarh -	.....	2272	81
26	Dadi-a & Nagar Haveli	.....	351	112
27	Daman & Diu	.....	49	10
28	Nagaland		308	39
29	Lakshadweep	.....	77	NIL
30	Meghalaya	.....	1294	157
31	Pondicherry	.....	3520	162
		.....	312	Nil.
	TOTAL	.....	1595037	3I7977

New role ot the Planning commission

59. SHRI GOVINDRAO ADIK:

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION- be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Planning Commission has embarked upon a serious introspective exercise to freshly delineate the role of Planning process in a ifree market 'economy in the poBt.Tiberalisatiort era;

(b) if so, the details 'thereof in ducing the suggested changes which it intends to bring in the. current Plan model;

(c) whether the new plan process has been discussed thread bare by Government economists and others Oefore it is implemented; and

(d) if so, whether there is any difference of opinion on it?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTA-TION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMAN- GO): (a) to (d) Deputy Chairman. Planningj /Commission held a meet-inn- with some Senior Economists of the country on 23rd May. 1934 to discuss the role and shape of plann- may *in th*e eoutext of economic re-form in the country. This meeting- was a part elf an ongoing process of wider conusultation on issues pertaining to development and planning. This meeting endorsed the need for and relevance of planning in the context of changine; economic scena-.

Couimitte for Iosting omplayuament

860. PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA- Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) *whether* it is a fact that committee was appointed recently to go into the question of boosting employment of educated unemployed youths:

(b) if so, whether that Committee lias submitted its report and if so when and what are the recommendations made by It;

(c) the action taken by Government on the recommendation;

(d) if no action has yet been taken, the reasons 'for the delay; and

(e) the steps taken to expedite the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE: OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a)) to (e) The Committee on Boosting Employment for the Educated "Unemployed submitted its Report in September, 1992. As the Report is under consideration of the Government, it is not possible to provide any details, about the recommendations of the Committee.

Evaluation of Jawaior Rojgar Vojan in five States by O.R.G. Baroda

861. SHRI JAGMOHAN: W:]l the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to stale:

(a) whether Operation Research Group. Baroda, was to evalne the Working on the Jawahar RozgarYogan in five States;

(b) whether this Group ha.- slnce submitted its reprnrt;

(e) if so what are the finding's of this Gronp; and.

(d) what modifications are proposed to be made in the concept of the Schemc and its implementation machinery, in the light of the findings suggcion, *of* the Group?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) No, Sir. However, the Ministry of Rural Development has undertaken a concurrent evaluation of JRY (May 1993 to June 1994) conducted by several research institutions throughout the country. The Operation Research Group. Baroda has also been associated with this evaluation study but only in six districts of Gujarat and one district of Dadra & Nagar Haveli.